

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
CITY CLERK

PETTY F. SANTOS
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK
ELECTION DIVISION

SPACE 300
555 RAMIREZ STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
(213) 978-0444
FAX: (213) 978-0376

JINNY PAK
DIVISION MANAGER

clerk.lacity.org

February 10, 2022

The Los Angeles City Council
City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR
RIVERSIDE DRIVE AND CALHOUN AVENUE LIGHTING DISTRICT
COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 21-0900-S105

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Riverside Drive and Calhoun Avenue Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballots delivered to my office by the final hearing date of February 8, 2022.

The Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District received a majority of weighted ballots in favor of the assessment. As a result, the City may impose the proposed additional annual maintenance assessments for the District. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

1. DENY the protests and confirm the assessments.
2. ADOPT the Ordinance levying the assessments and ordering the maintenance of the lighting system.

Sincerely,

For
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) SS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, HOLLY L. WOLCOTT, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that four ballots were submitted on or before February 8, 2022, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Riverside Drive and Calhoun Avenue Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 21-0900-S105. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 10th day of February 2022.

Sincerely,



For
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Riverside Drive and Calhoun Avenue Lighting District**

Final Public Hearing February 8, 2022

**Election #5345
Council File # 21-0900-S105**

Ballot Tally

| Assmnt No. | County APN | Owner | Assessment Weight | Ballot Vote Y/N | Weighted Vote Yes | Weighted Vote No | No Vote/ Challenged |
|---------------|---------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 2248-028-010 | CALHOUN AVENUE TRUST BARINSTEIN,NOBERT CO | \$142.47 | N | | \$ 142.47 | |
| 2 | 2248-028-011 | LENNON MEHLSCHAU,SHARON AND | \$85.21 | | Not Cast | Not Cast | |
| 3 | 2248-028-012 | ASLANI,ASHKAN AND PAREL,SARAH M | \$85.21 | | Not Cast | Not Cast | |
| 4 | 2248-028-013 | SMITH,FREDERICK B AND MARSELLA SMITH,LUCY | \$85.21 | | Not Cast | Not Cast | |
| 5 | 2248-028-014 | AFARI,MAHER AND JAFARI,LAF | \$85.21 | Y | \$ 85.21 | | |
| 6 | 2248-028-015 | WALKER ROSADO,NANCY AND ROSADO,ANDRE L | \$85.21 | Y | \$ 85.21 | | |
| 7 | 2248-028-016 | K AND S TERAMURA TRUST TERAMURA,SHARON | \$106.51 | | Not Cast | Not Cast | |
| 8 | 2248-029-009 | IMT CAPITAL II SHERMAN OAKS LLC. At: DAVID TEDESCO, JOHN TESORIERO | \$8,358.24 | Y | \$ 8,358.24 | | |
| | | TOTAL | \$9,033.27 | | \$ 8,528.66 | \$ 142.47 | |
| 8 | | TOTAL VOTES | | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Riverside Drive and Calhoun Avenue Lighting District**

Final Public Hearing February 8, 2022

**Election #5345
Council File # 21-0900-S105**

| Voting/Ballot Summary | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Vote | Weighted Total | Assessment Percent of Votes Cast | Number of Ballots |
| Yes | \$8,528.66 | 98.36% | 3 |
| No | \$142.47 | 1.64% | 1 |
| No Vote | | | 0 |
| Challenged | | | 0 |

| Assessment District Summary | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Total | Voted | Percent |
| Parcels | 8 | 4 | 50% |
| Assessment | \$9,033.27 | \$8,671.13 | 96% |